

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/766,651	01/27/2004	Ronald A. Beyerinck	0003.0577/PC23195B	4574		
152 CHERNOFF	7590 01/20/201 VILHAUER, MCCLUI	EXA	EXAMINER			
601 SW Second Avenue Suite 1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204-3157			SASAN, A	SASAN, ARADHANA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1615			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/20/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/766,651		BEYERINCK ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	ARADHANA SASAN	1615						

	740 1010 1010 1010 144	1010							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as						
	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		di stancia a character ta ci el							
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  </li> </ol>		I be entered and an e	xplanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove	vided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: 22-26.30.34-37 and 39-43.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefere were the date of Clause No.		h a satural						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
11. \(\overline{\textit{\textit{Z}}}\) The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicant's arguments (filed 01/05/10) have been fully or particles having diameters of greater than 10 micrometer micrometers requires further search and considerations of the particles having diameters of 10 micrometers or let	onsidered. The amendment of clain rs to 90 vol % of particles having di ince the process of Babcock et al.	n 22 to change the 80 ameters of greater tha	vol % of an 10						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).									
13. Other:									

/Robert A. Wax/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615

Examiner, Art Unit 1615

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

/Aradhana Sasan/

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. Part of Paper No. 20100114